Teasing Apart the Desired Effects of Anesthetics from Unwanted Side Effects at $GABA_A$ Receptors

Authors: Noëlie S Cayla¹, Beza A Dagne¹, M Frances Davies¹, Yun Wu¹, Eric R Gross¹, M Bruce MacIver¹, Edward J Bertaccini^{1,2}

- 1. Department of Anesthesiology, Pain and Perioperative Medicine
- 2. Department of Anesthesia, Palo Alto VA Health Care System

Background: GABA receptors are important molecular targets for anesthetics, but it remains unclear how these receptors are involved in producing unconsciousness or contributing to unwanted side effects. Our group has developed new anesthetic agents that specifically target GABA_A slow receptors. Using *in silico* docking-based screening algorithms, a novel molecular core was designed to target the same binding site as etomidate and propofol. Structures were designed to eliminate etomidate's side effect causing adrenal suppression. A series of compounds was generated by the program and the top ten compounds were first tested on tadpoles, then in rat brain slice electrophysiology experiments. Finally, *in vivo* experiments were conducted with propofol and the most promising experimental drug of the series, BB, to compare their hemodynamic effects.

Methods: For the *in vivo* studies, the compounds where pipetted into the amphibians' water and rats were administrated drugs by intravenous bolus. For electrophysiology, rat brain slices were incubated for at least two hours prior to experiment, and submerged in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF). In the hippocampal CA1 area, bipolar tungsten stimulating electrodes were placed to evoke field potentials via Schaffer-collateral fiber inputs. Paired-pulse population spike (PS) responses were recorded using a microelectrode placed near the pyramidal cell body. Control recordings were acquired with brain slices before and after a test compound was added to the ACSF. Additionally, we compared the experimental compound's actions with those produced by propofol and etomidate, agents known to selectively increase GABA_AR-mediated inhibition. We used picrotoxin, a chloride channel blocker to probe GABA_AR involvement in effects.

Results: When exposed to BB, tadpoles quickly lost consciousness then fully recovered. When BB was injected into rats, the rodents also lost consciousness and recovered fully. Also, their heart rate and blood pressure were considerably more stable compared to propofol effects. Our electrophysiology results show that etomidate and BB produced a reversible enhancement of GABAAR-mediated slow inhibition that was more selective than propofol. All of BB's effects occurred by acting specifically on GABA_A-slow receptors, like etomidate. Propofol, in contrast, clearly enhanced other forms of GABA_AR-mediated inhibition (e.g. fast and tonic receptors). The effects of all agents were fully reversed by picrotoxin.

Conclusions: The experimental compound BB had an anesthetic effect via GABA_ARs as predicted. It was more selective than propofol, which acted mainly on GABA_A-fast, followed by -tonic and slow receptors, instead of just the GABA_A-slow receptors that were most sensitive to our experimental compounds. Thus, both etomidate and our experimental compound BB demonstrated the same GABA_AR selectivity, which correlates with the observed decreased in undesirable hemodynamic side effects for both compounds compared to propofol.