Interaction of Sevoflurane, Propofol and Remifentanil Revisited

Author(s): Vereecke H.E.M.¹, Hannivoort L.N.¹, Proost J.H.¹, Eleveld D.J.¹, Struys M.M.R.F.¹, Luginbühl M.²

¹ University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Dept of Anesthesiology, Groningen, the Netherlands; ²Bern Hospital Network and University of Bern, Dept of Anesthesiology, Bern, Switzerland.

Background and Goal of Study: The probability to tolerate laryngoscopy (P_{TOL}) was used to quantify the potency of different combinations of sevoflurane, propofol and/or remifentanil in three different studies.¹⁻³ In the current study the data of all three studies were pooled and the parameters of the hierarchical interaction model were re-estimated in order to form a basis to convert a given combination of propofol and remifentanil in an approximately equipotent combination of sevoflurane and remifentanil.

Materials and Methods: We extracted the measured end-tidal sevoflurane concentrations (ET_{SEVO}) and the predicted effect-site propofol (Ce_{PROP}) and remifentanil (Ce_{REMI}) concentrations before laryngoscopy and used the related response from the previous studies as independent endpoint. In the selected structural model, P_{TOL} is a function of the total potency of the drug combination (U) and a slope factor (γ) (Eq. 1).

$$P_{TOL} = \frac{U'}{1+U^{\gamma}} \qquad \qquad Eq 1,$$

where U is calculated according to Equation 2 from the effect-site concentrations normalized to the Ce50ies and the slope factor of the opioid γ_0

$$U = \left(\frac{Ce_{\text{SEVO}}}{Ce50_{\text{SEVO}}} + \frac{Ce_{\text{PROP}}}{Ce50_{\text{PROP}}}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{Ce_{\text{REMI}}}{Ce50_{\text{REMI}}}\right)^{\gamma O}\right)$$
Eq 2.

The slope factors and the $Ce50_{REMI}$ were allowed to vary between sevoflurane and propofol. The parameters were estimated using NONMEM 7.2.0.

Results and Discussion: The new parameter estimates are presented in comparison with those from the previous studies in table 1. Whereas the slope factors γ and γ_0 and the Ce50_{REMI} were substantially different between sevoflurane and propofol in the previous studies, the differences were not statistically significant in the pooled analysis. This implies a similar slope of the response surface for all drug combinations. The remifentanil concentration reducing the Ce50 of sevoflurane and propofol by 50% is similar.

Conclusions: Based on P_{TOL} , a given combination of propofol and remifentanil can be converted to an equipotent combination of sevoflurane and remifentanil, and *vice versa*. The predictive potential of the calculated P_{TOL} or any related depth-of-anesthesia indicators, such as the Noxious Stimulation Response Index, needs to be validated prospectively.

	Ce50 SEVO (vol%)	Ce50 PROP (µg/ml)	Ce50 REMI (ng/ml)	γ	γο	N patients	N observatio ns
Prop&Remi ¹	-	8.48	1.16	3.46	1	20	95
		(23)	(41)	(24)			
Sevo&Prop ²	2.83	6.55	-	17.4	-	60	274
	(7)	(8)		(14)			
Sevo&Remi ³	2.00	-	1.69	7.41	0.718	40	152
	(8)		(21)	(12)	(12)		
Pooled	2.59	7.58	1.36	5.22	1	120	501
	(5)	(6)	(11)	(10)			521

Table 1: Parameter estimates (standard error in %).

References:

1. Bouillon TW, Bruhn J, Radulescu L et al. Anesthesiology 2004; 100: 1353-72

2. Schumacher PM, Dossche J, Mortier EP et al. Anesthesiology 2009; 111: 790-804

3. Heyse B, Proost JH, Schumacher PM, et al. Anesthesiology 2012; 116: 311-23

Both departments of Anesthesiology received non-restrictive educational grants from Dräger Medical (Lübeck, Germany).